



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/735,725	12/16/2003	Tsunenori Yamamoto	503.39221CX1	3672		
20457	7 7590 04/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			PIZIALI, JI	PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
SUITE 1800	I SEVENTEENTH STREE	21	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2629			

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/735,725	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeff Piziali	2629

		2029	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a limust timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendme condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal file Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The real The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of 	ent, affidavit, or other evidence, was fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4 eply must be filed within one of the final rejection.	which places the appli 11.31; or (3) a Requence to following time perion	cation in st for Continued ods:
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advino event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0	07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount or rtened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropri-	ate extension fee
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, was filed on 16 December 2005. A brief in compliance with Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereo of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tian AMENDMENTS	37 CFR 41.37 must be filed withing (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	in two months of the d missal of the appeal.	date of filing the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	ideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a con NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	able if submitted in a separate, ti	mely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
 7.	vill not be entered, or b)□ will be elow or appended.	e entered and an expl	anation of how
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			,
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>21 and 22</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary ar	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeand was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	f the status of the claims after en	try is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do	oes NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PT 13. Other:	O/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
	BIPIN SHALWALA RIVISORY PATENT SAVES	29 March 2006	
	CHNOLOGY CENTER A		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)